

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/599,293</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) OHARA ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner JASON L. SAVAGE</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1784</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/599,293	Applicant(s) OHARA ET AL.	Examiner JASON L. SAVAGE	Art Unit 1784
Application No. 10/599,293	Applicant(s) OHARA ET AL.				
Examiner JASON L. SAVAGE	Art Unit 1784				

All Participants:
 (1) JASON L. SAVAGE.
 (2) Christopher Korff.

Status of Application: Allowed
 (3) ____
 (4) ____

Date of Interview: 11 January 2011 **Time:** 11:00

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
50 and 52

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant gave the Examiner permission to amend the claims to further clarify what was being claimed and put the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*/Keith D. Hendricks/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1781*

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)